

## PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 1 Sept 68	2. LOCATION San Francisco, California
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	A Form 117 was sent to the observer which has not been returned as of 6 Nov 68.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Reported	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS No information on sighting.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE Not Reported	
8. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM  
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.



*Ref. Letter  
1 Sept*

18 SEP 1968

TDPT (UFO) Lt Col Quintanilla/70916/ber/18 Sep 68

UFO Observation

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

San Francisco, California 94117

1. Reference your letter of 1 September 1968. We do try to notify the observer of our findings whenever possible, however, because of the large number of reports and our limited staff we cannot always do so. If you would complete the inclosed AF Form 117 on your recent observation, we will try to identify the stimulus if possible.

2. Thank you for reporting your observation to the Air Force.

*A*  
HECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Lt Colonel, USAF  
Chief, Aerial Phenomena Office  
Aerospace Technologies Division  
Production Directorate

1 Atch  
AF Form 117 w/envelope

TDPT (UFO) OFFICIAL READING FILE



[REDACTED]  
San Francisco, Calif. 94117  
Sept. 1, 1968

U.S. Air Force Research & Development Command  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base  
Ohio 45433

Dear Sir,

With one other person I recently saw a very strange object in the sky which I am curious about. I know you have questionnaires to fill out, but is it your policy to reply to these, i.e. to report your analysis of the object?

Thank you for your trouble.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]